

Y(so(5)) symmetry of the nonlinear Schrödinger model with four-components

Hong-Biao Zhang^{1,3}, Mo-Lin Ge¹, Kang Xue^{1,2}

1. Theoretical Physics Division, Nankai Institute of Mathematics,
Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P.R.China
2. Physics Department, Northeast Normal University,
Changchun, Jilin, 130024, P.R.China
3. Educational Institute of Jilin Province,
Changchun, Jilin, 130022, P.R.China

Abstract

The quantum nonlinear Schrödinger(NLS) model with four-component fermions exhibits a $Y(so(5))$ symmetry when considered on an infinite interval. The constructed generators of Yangian are proved to satisfy the Drinfel'd formula and furthermore, the RTT relation with the general form of rational R-matrix given by Yang-Baxterization associated with $so(5)$ algebraic structure.

(I). Introduction

Many one-dimensional nonlinear models possess $Y(sl(2))$ symmetry. The notable examples include Hubbard model^[1] and its extension^[2], Haldance-shastry model^[3–8] that are chain models and, as explored by S.Murakami and M.Wadati, the NLS model with spins^[9]. In our knowledge so far the shown Yangian symmetry exhibited by physical models is only for $Y(sl(2))$. However, it has been proposed by S.C. Zhang et al. that the antiferromagnetic(AF) and superconducting(SC) phases of high- T_c cuprates are hopeful to be unified by an $so(5)$ symmetry principle^[10]. Possible support for this proposal came from numerical investigations in models for high- T_c materials. In particular, it was shown that the low-energy excitations can be classified in terms of an $so(5)$ symmetry multiplet structure^[11,12]. Subsequently, extended Hubbard models and a two-leg ladder model related to $so(5)$ symmetry have been introduced and analyzed in detail^[13–15]. While these model don't exhibit a larger symmetry: $Y(so(5))$ symmetry. In this paper we would like to show that the NLS model with four-component fermions will have a larger symmetry: the Yangian symmetry $Y(so(5))$ which is realized on a infinite interval. This work can be viewed as an extension of Ref.[9] with mainly two different features: 1). the relations for $Y(so(5))$ are much more complicated than $Y(sl(2))$'s, and 2). in comparison to the new realization of Yangian by Drinfel'd^[16], we should complete the calculations based on RTT relation. This paper is organized as follows: In section (II). the commutativity between the NLS model with $so(5)$ symmetry and the continuous realization of $Y(so(5))$ is presented. In section (III). we recast the relations for $Y(so(5))$ given by Drinfel'd to the simplest form with the help of Jacobi identities. Then the presented operators $I_{ab}^{(1)}$ and $I_{ab}^{(2)}$ will be shown to satisfy $Y(so(5))$. In section (IV). we start from RTT relation and show as the consequence to yield the simplified form of $Y(so(5))$ that not only check our result, but also explicitly give the "new realization" of $Y(so(5))$ given in Ref.[16].

(II). Hamiltonian and Realization of $Y(so(5))$

In this section we will give explicit expression for the generators of $Y(so(5))$ commuting with the NLS model of four-component fermions.

The Hamiltonian for the NLS model of four-component fermions is given by the following expression

$$H = \int \{ \partial_x \psi_\alpha^+ \partial_x \psi_\alpha + c \psi_\beta^+ \psi_\alpha^+ \psi_\alpha \psi_\beta \} dx \quad (1)$$

Here $\psi_\alpha(x)$ ($\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$) denote fermionic field operators which satisfy canonical anticommutation relations

$$\begin{aligned}
[\psi_\alpha^+(x), \psi_\beta^+(y)]_+ &= 0, \quad [\psi_\alpha(x), \psi_\beta(y)]_+ = 0 \\
[\psi_\alpha(x), \psi_\beta^+(y)]_+ &= \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta(x-y)
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

We can construct Yangian generartors as follows (a,b=1,2,3,4,5)

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{ab} &= I_{ab}^{(1)} = \int I_{ab}(x) dx, \quad I_{ab}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \psi_\alpha^+(x) \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{ab} \psi_\beta(x) \\
J_{ab} &= I_{ab}^{(2)} = -\frac{i}{2} \int dx \psi_\alpha^+(x) \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{ab} \partial_x \psi_\beta(x) - \frac{ic}{2} \int \int dx dy \epsilon(x-y) I_{ac}(x) I_{cb}(y)
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $\Gamma^{ab} = -i\Gamma^a\Gamma^b$ and Γ^a are 4×4 Dirac matrices, $c = \hbar$, in comparison to Ref.[10]. It can be checked that the set $\{I_{ab}^{(1)}, I_{ab}^{(2)}\}$ commutes with the Hamiltonian of the NLS model: $[H, I_{ab}^{(1)}] = [H, I_{ab}^{(2)}] = 0$ or $[H, Y(so(5))] = 0$, where the set $\{I_{ab}, J_{ab}\}$ satisfies $Y(so(5))$. i.e., $Y(so(5))$ serves as a symmetry of the NLS model. In momentum space, Eq.(3) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{ab} &= I_{ab}^{(2)} = \int k I_{ab}(k, k) dk - \frac{c}{\pi} \int \int dk dp dq k^{-1} I_{ac}(k+p, p) I_{cb}(q, k+q) \\
I_{ab} &= I_{ab}^{(1)} = \int I_{ab}(k, k) dk, \quad I_{ab}(k, k') = \frac{1}{2} \psi_\alpha^+(k) \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{ab} \psi_\beta(k')
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

For four-component fermions the field operator $\psi(k) = [c_\sigma(k), d_\sigma^+(-k)]^T$ ($\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ and T means transport), then Eq.(1) is changed into the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= \int \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow, \downarrow} \{ (k^2 + 4c) c_\sigma^+(k) c_\sigma(k) + d_\sigma^+(k) d_\sigma(k) - 1 \} dk \\
&+ \frac{c}{\pi} \int \int \int dk dk' dq \{ c_\uparrow^+(k + \frac{q}{2}) c_\downarrow^+(-k + \frac{q}{2}) c_\downarrow(-k' + \frac{q}{2}) c_\uparrow(k' + \frac{q}{2}) \\
&\quad - c_\uparrow^+(k + \frac{q}{2}) d_\uparrow^+(-k + \frac{q}{2}) d_\uparrow(-k' + \frac{q}{2}) c_\uparrow(k' + \frac{q}{2}) \\
&\quad - c_\uparrow^+(k + \frac{q}{2}) d_\downarrow^+(-k + \frac{q}{2}) d_\downarrow(-k' + \frac{q}{2}) c_\uparrow(k' + \frac{q}{2}) \\
&\quad - c_\downarrow^+(k + \frac{q}{2}) d_\uparrow^+(-k + \frac{q}{2}) d_\uparrow(-k' + \frac{q}{2}) c_\downarrow(k' + \frac{q}{2}) \\
&\quad - c_\downarrow^+(k + \frac{q}{2}) d_\downarrow^+(-k + \frac{q}{2}) d_\downarrow(-k' + \frac{q}{2}) c_\downarrow(k' + \frac{q}{2}) \\
&\quad + d_\uparrow(k + \frac{q}{2}) d_\downarrow(-k + \frac{q}{2}) d_\downarrow^+(-k' + \frac{q}{2}) d_\uparrow^+(k' + \frac{q}{2}) \}
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Since $c_\sigma(k)$ and $d_\sigma(k)$ denote different fermions, Eq.(5) gives the pair interaction. Eq.(4) can be expressed in terms of Cartan-Weyl basis forms with

$$E_3^{(1)} = \int E_3(k, k) dk, \quad E_\pm^{(1)} = \int E_\pm(k, k) dk, \quad F_3^{(1)} = \int F_3(k, k) dk,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{\pm}^{(1)} &= \int F_{\pm}(k, k) dk, \quad U_{\pm}^{(1)} = \int U_{\pm}(k, k) dk, \quad V_{\pm}^{(1)} = \int V_{\pm}(k, k) dk \quad (6) \\
E_3^{(2)} &= \int k E_3(k, k) dk - \frac{c}{\pi} \int \int \int dk dp dq k^{-1} \{ U_+(k+p, p) U_-(q, k+q) \\
&\quad + V_+(k+p, p) V_-(q, k+q) + E_+(k+p, p) E_-(q, k+q) \} \\
E_{\pm}^{(2)} &= \int k E_{\pm}(k, k) dk \mp \frac{c}{\pi} \int \int \int dk dp dq k^{-1} \{ U_{\pm}(k+p, p) F_{\pm}(q, k+q) \\
&\quad - V_{\pm}(k+p, p) F_{\mp}(q, k+q) + E_3(k+p, p) E_{\pm}(q, k+q) \} \\
F_3^{(2)} &= \int k F_3(k, k) dk - \frac{c}{\pi} \int \int \int dk dp dq k^{-1} \{ -U_+(k+p, p) U_-(q, k+q) \\
&\quad + V_+(k+p, p) V_-(q, k+q) + F_+(k+p, p) F_-(q, k+q) \} \\
F_{\pm}^{(2)} &= \int k F_{\pm}(k, k) dk \mp \frac{c}{\pi} \int \int \int dk dp dq k^{-1} \{ F_3(k+p, p) F_{\pm}(q, k+q) \\
&\quad + V_{\pm}(k+p, p) E_{\mp}(q, k+q) - E_{\pm}(k+p, p) U_{\mp}(q, k+q) \} \\
U_{\pm}^{(2)} &= \int k U_{\pm}(k, k) dk \mp \frac{c}{\pi} \int \int \int dk dp dq k^{-1} \{ E_{\pm}(k+p, p) F_{\mp}(q, k+q) \\
&\quad + U_{\pm}(k+p, p) (F_3(q, k+q) - E_3(q, k+q)) \} \\
V_{\pm}^{(2)} &= \int k V_{\pm}(k, k) dk \pm \frac{c}{\pi} \int \int \int dk dp dq k^{-1} \{ E_{\pm}(k+p, p) F_{\pm}(q, k+q) \\
&\quad - (E_3(k+p, p) + F_3(k+p, p)) V_{\pm}(q, k+q) \} \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
E_+(k, k') &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (c_{\uparrow}^+(k) c_{\downarrow}(k') - d_{\downarrow}(-k) d_{\uparrow}(-k')^+) \\
E_-(k, k') &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (c_{\downarrow}^+(k) c_{\uparrow}(k') - d_{\uparrow}(-k) d_{\downarrow}(-k')^+) \\
F_+(k, k') &= \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} (d_{\uparrow}(-k) c_{\downarrow}(k') + d_{\downarrow}(-k) c_{\uparrow}(k')) \\
F_-(k, k') &= \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} (c_{\uparrow}^+(k) d_{\downarrow}^+(-k') + c_{\downarrow}^+(k) d_{\uparrow}^+(-k')) \\
U_+(k, k') &= -c_{\uparrow}^+(k) d_{\uparrow}^+(-k'), \quad U_-(k, k') = -d_{\uparrow}(-k) c_{\uparrow}(k') \\
V_+(k, k') &= -d_{\downarrow}(-k) c_{\downarrow}(k'), \quad V_-(k, k') = -c_{\downarrow}^+(k) d_{\downarrow}^+(-k') \\
E_3(k, k') &= \frac{1}{2} (c_{\uparrow}^+(k) c_{\downarrow}(k') - c_{\downarrow}^+(k) c_{\uparrow}(k') - d_{\uparrow}(-k) d_{\uparrow}^+(-k') + d_{\downarrow}(-k) d_{\downarrow}^+(-k')) \\
F_3(k, k') &= \frac{1}{2} (-c_{\uparrow}^+(k) c_{\downarrow}(k') - c_{\downarrow}^+(k) c_{\uparrow}(k') + d_{\uparrow}(-k) d_{\uparrow}^+(-k') + d_{\downarrow}(-k) d_{\downarrow}^+(-k'))
\end{aligned}$$

The relations for $U_{\alpha}^{(n)}$, $V_{\alpha}^{(n)}$, $E_{\alpha}^{(n)}$, $F_{\alpha}^{(n)}$ ($n = 1, 2$ and $\alpha = \pm, 3$) and $I_{ab}^{(1)}$, $I_{ab}^{(2)}$ are given by

$$E_3^{(n)} = \frac{1}{h^{n-1}} I_{23}^{(n)}, \quad E_{\pm}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} h^{n-1}} (I_{34}^{(n)} \pm i I_{42}^{(n)}), \quad F_{\pm}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} h^{n-1}} (I_{45}^{(n)} \pm i I_{14}^{(n)})$$

$$F_3^{(n)} = \frac{1}{h^{n-1}} I_{15}^{(n)}, \quad U_{\pm}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2h^{n-1}} \{(I_{31}^{(n)} \pm iI_{12}^{(n)}) - (I_{25}^{(n)} \pm iI_{35}^{(n)})\},$$

$$V_{\pm}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2h^{n-1}} \{(I_{31}^{(n)} \pm iI_{12}^{(n)}) + (I_{25}^{(n)} \pm iI_{35}^{(n)})\}, \quad (8)$$

Therefore, we have expressed the generators of $Y(so(5))$ in terms of fermions including their pairs. Equipping with the above relations we shall show that the I_{ab} and J_{ab} given by Eq.(3) really satisfy $Y(so(5))$.

(III). Simplification of the commutation relations of $Y(so(5))$

The original commutation relations of Yangian $Y(\mathbf{a})$ were given by Drinfle'd^[17] in the form:

$$[I_{\lambda}, I_{\mu}] = c_{\lambda\mu\nu} I_{\nu}, \quad [I_{\lambda}, J_{\mu}] = c_{\lambda\mu\nu} J_{\nu}, \quad (9)$$

$$[J_{\lambda}, [J_{\mu}, I_{\nu}]] - [I_{\lambda}, [J_{\mu}, J_{\nu}]] = h^2 a_{\lambda\mu\nu\alpha\beta\gamma} \{I_{\alpha}, I_{\beta}, I_{\gamma}\}, \quad (10)$$

where eq.(10) doesn't works only for $sl(2)$, and

$$[[J_{\lambda}, J_{\mu}], [I_{\sigma}, J_{\tau}]] + [[J_{\sigma}, J_{\tau}], [I_{\lambda}, J_{\mu}]] = h^2 (a_{\lambda\mu\nu\alpha\beta\gamma} c_{\sigma\tau\nu} + a_{\sigma\tau\nu\alpha\beta\gamma} c_{\lambda\mu\nu}) \{I_{\alpha}, I_{\beta}, J_{\gamma}\}, \quad (11)$$

where $c_{\lambda\mu\nu}$ are structure constants of a simple Lie algebra $\mathbf{a}$, h is a constant and

$$a_{\lambda\mu\nu\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{4!} c_{\lambda\alpha\sigma} c_{\mu\beta\tau} c_{\nu\gamma\rho} c_{\sigma\tau\rho},$$

$$\{x_1, x_2, x_3\} = \sum_{i \neq j \neq k} x_i x_j x_k. \quad (12)$$

Through the " new realization of Yangian" given in Ref.[16] by Drinfel'd the isomorphic between $Y(\mathbf{a})$ and $T_{ab}^{(n)}$ was established, where $T_{ab}(u)$ are matrix elements of transfer matrix $T(u)$ obeying RTT relation

$$\check{R}(u-v)(T(u) \otimes T(v)) = (T(v) \otimes T(u)) \check{R}(u-v) \quad (13)$$

where $\check{R}(u)$ is the rational solution of YBE. The expansion of $T(u)$ is made by:

$$T_{ab}(u) = \delta_{ab} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u^{-n} T_{ab}^{(n)}. \quad (14)$$

From the point of view of mathematics Ref.[16-18] together with the representation theory of $Y(sl(2))$ by Chari and Pressley^[19] have solved the basic problems of Yangian associated with $sl(2)$ ($Y(sl(2))$). For $sl(2)$ Eq.(11) can be simplified to only one relation as was shown in Ref.[8]. Now we can show that besides Eq.(9) not all of the relations in Eq.(10) and Eq.(11) are independent. After tedious calculation we can prove that there is only one independent relation:

$$[I_{23}^{(2)}, I_{15}^{(2)}] = \frac{i}{4!} h^2 (\{I_{13}^{(1)}, I_{42}^{(1)}, I_{45}^{(1)}\} + \{I_{12}^{(1)}, I_{45}^{(1)}, I_{34}^{(1)}\} - \{I_{14}^{(1)}, I_{42}^{(1)}, I_{35}^{(1)}\} - \{I_{14}^{(1)}, I_{34}^{(1)}, I_{25}^{(1)}\}) \quad (15)$$

where $I_{23}^{(1)}$ and $I_{15}^{(1)}$ are the Cartan subset. All the other relations in Eq.(10) and Eq.(11) can be generated on the basis of Eq.(15) by using Jacobi identities together with Eq.(9). Therefore, for $Y(so(5))$, Eq.(9)-Eq.(11) can also be expressed with Eq.(16)-Eq.(17)

$$[I_{ab}^{(1)}, I_{cd}^{(1)}] = i(\delta_{bc}I_{ad}^{(1)} + \delta_{ad}I_{bc}^{(1)} - \delta_{ac}I_{bd}^{(1)} - \delta_{bd}I_{ac}^{(1)}) \quad (16)$$

$$[I_{ab}^{(1)}, I_{cd}^{(2)}] = i(\delta_{bc}I_{ad}^{(2)} + \delta_{ad}I_{bc}^{(2)} - \delta_{ac}I_{bd}^{(2)} - \delta_{bd}I_{ac}^{(2)}) \quad (17)$$

$$I_{ab}^{(1)} = -I_{ba}^{(1)}; \quad I_{ab}^{(2)} = -I_{ba}^{(2)}; \quad (a, b, c, d = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$$

together with Eq.(15). The proof is direct: substituting the structure constants for $so(5)$ into Eq.(10) and Eq.(11), then carefully using Jacobi identities together with Eq.(9) and checking one by one relation, finally based on Eq.(9) we generate all the relations given by Eq.(10) and Eq.(11) in terms of Eq.(15) and Jacobi identities. This process looks the extension for $Y(sl(2))$ as discussed in Ref.[20]. The similar also occurs in $Y(su(3))$. Direct check verifies that Eq.(3) satisfies Eq.(15)-Eq.(17) indeed.

(IV). RTT Relations and $Y(so(5))$

We have proved that the operators I_{ab} and J_{ab} shown by Eq.(3) satisfies Eq.(15)-Eq.(17), hence Eq.(9)-Eq.(11). To independently check the statement and furthermore show the contensicy between Eq.(15) and the "new realization" of Yangian given by Drinfeld^[16], we have to solve RTT relation for $so(5)$, i.e. starting from Eq.(13) and Eq.(14) we shall give the general forms of $T_{ab}^{(n)}$ and verify when $n = 1, 2$ they will give Eq.(15)-Eq.(17). For this goal we should begin with the rational R-matrix associated with $so(5)$. For $so(N)$ the general form had been given in Ref.[21] through Yang-Baxterization^[22]: $\check{R}(u) = f(u)\{u^2P + u(q_1P + xA + q_2I)x + qI\}$, where $q_1 = (1 - \frac{N}{2})x$, $q_2 = -x$, $q = q_1q_2$, x is constant number and the elements of permutation matrix P , unit matrix I and blockdiag-matrix A are $P_{cd}^{ab} = \delta_{ad}\delta_{bc}$, $I_{cd}^{ab} = \delta_{ac}\delta_{bd}$ and $A_{cd}^{ab} = \delta_{a,-b}\delta_{c,-d}$ when $a, b, c, d \in \{\frac{(-N+1)}{2}, \frac{(-N+3)}{2}, \dots, \frac{(N-3)}{2}, \frac{(N-1)}{2}\}$. For $so(5)$, $N = 5$, $\check{R}$ -matrix is changed into the following form

$$\check{R}(u) = f(u)\{u^2P + u(A - I - \frac{3}{2}P)x + \frac{3}{2}x^2I\} \quad (18)$$

For our discussion we restrict ourselves to working in five-dimensional auxiliary space, whereas each element T_{ab} is a quantum operator, where $a, b = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$. In comparison with Drinfeld^[16], we express the transfer matrix $T(u)$ as

$$T_{ab}(u) = \delta_{ab} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x}{u}\right)^n T_{ab}^{(n)}. \quad (19)$$

Substituting Eq.(18) and Eq.(19) into Eq.(13), by direct calculation, we obtain the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
& [T_{bc}^{(n+2)}, T_{ad}^{(m)}] - 2[T_{bc}^{(n+1)}, T_{ad}^{(m+1)}] + [T_{bc}^{(n)}, T_{ad}^{(m+2)}] + (T_{ic}^{(n+1)} T_{-id}^{(m)} \\
& - T_{ic}^{(n)} T_{-id}^{(m+1)}) \delta_{a,-b} + (T_{ai}^{(m+1)} T_{b-i}^{(n)} - T_{ai}^{(m)} T_{b-i}^{(n+1)}) \delta_{c,-d} - \frac{3}{2}([T_{bc}^{(n+1)}, T_{ad}^{(m)}] \\
& - [T_{bc}^{(n)}, T_{ad}^{(m+1)}]) - T_{ac}^{(n+1)} T_{bd}^{(m)} + T_{ac}^{(n)} T_{bd}^{(m+1)} + T_{ac}^{(m)} T_{bd}^{(n+1)} \\
& - T_{ac}^{(m+1)} T_{bd}^{(n)} + \frac{3}{2}(T_{ac}^{(n)} T_{bd}^{(m)} - T_{ac}^{(m)} T_{bd}^{(n)}) = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

$$[T_{bc}^{(1)}, T_{ad}^{(m)}] + T_{-cd}^{(m)} \delta_{a,-b} - T_{a-b}^{(m)} \delta_{c,-d} - T_{bd}^{(m)} \delta_{ac} + T_{ac}^{(m)} \delta_{bd} = 0 \tag{21}$$

$$[T_{bc}^{(n)}, T_{ad}^{(1)}] + T_{b-a}^{(n)} \delta_{c,-d} - T_{-dc}^{(n)} \delta_{a,-b} - T_{bd}^{(n)} \delta_{ac} + T_{ac}^{(n)} \delta_{bd} = 0 \tag{22}$$

From Eq.(21) its exchange ($a \leftrightarrow b, c \leftrightarrow d, n \leftrightarrow m$) leads to Eq.(22). It indicates that Eq.(21) is exactly the same as Eq.(22). For the convenience of the following calculation, we define $T_{ab}^{(n)}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{22}^{(n)} - T_{-2-2}^{(n)} &= 2E_3^{(n)}, \quad T_{22}^{(n)} + T_{-2-2}^{(n)} = 2\tilde{E}_3^{(n)}, \quad T_{21}^{(n)} - T_{-1-2}^{(n)} = 2U_+^{(n)} \\
T_{21}^{(n)} + T_{-1-2}^{(n)} &= 2\tilde{U}_+^{(n)}, \quad T_{20}^{(n)} - T_{0-2}^{(n)} = 2E_+^{(n)}, \quad T_{20}^{(n)} + T_{0-2}^{(n)} = 2\tilde{E}_+^{(n)} \\
T_{2-1}^{(n)} - T_{1-2}^{(n)} &= 2V_+^{(n)}, \quad T_{2-1}^{(n)} + T_{1-2}^{(n)} = 2\tilde{V}_+^{(n)}, \quad T_{12}^{(n)} - T_{-2-1}^{(n)} = 2U_-^{(n)} \\
T_{12}^{(n)} + T_{-2-1}^{(n)} &= 2\tilde{U}_-^{(n)}, \quad T_{11}^{(n)} - T_{-1-1}^{(n)} = 2F_3^{(n)}, \quad T_{11}^{(n)} + T_{-1-1}^{(n)} = 2\tilde{F}_3^{(n)} \\
T_{10}^{(n)} - T_{0-1}^{(n)} &= 2F_+^{(n)}, \quad T_{10}^{(n)} + T_{0-1}^{(n)} = 2\tilde{F}_+^{(n)}, \quad T_{02}^{(n)} - T_{-20}^{(n)} = 2E_-^{(n)} \\
T_{02}^{(n)} + T_{-20}^{(n)} &= 2\tilde{E}_-^{(n)}, \quad T_{01}^{(n)} - T_{-10}^{(n)} = 2F_-^{(n)}, \quad T_{01}^{(n)} + T_{-10}^{(n)} = 2\tilde{F}_-^{(n)} \\
T_{1-1}^{(n)} &= Y_+^{(n)}, \quad T_{-11}^{(n)} = Y_-^{(n)}, \quad T_{-22}^{(n)} = X_-^{(n)}, \quad T_{2-2}^{(n)} = X_+^{(n)} \\
T_{-12}^{(n)} - T_{-21}^{(n)} &= 2V_-^{(n)}, \quad T_{-12}^{(n)} + T_{-21}^{(n)} = 2\tilde{V}_-^{(n)}, \quad T_{00}^{(n)} = I_0^{(n)}
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

This definition of $T_{ab}^{(n)}$ is expressed in terms of matrix form with

$$T_{ab}^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{E}_3^{(n)} + E_3^{(n)} & \tilde{U}_+^{(n)} + U_+^{(n)} & \tilde{E}_+^{(n)} + E_+^{(n)} & \tilde{V}_+^{(n)} + V_+^{(n)} & X_+^{(n)} \\ \tilde{U}_-^{(n)} + U_-^{(n)} & \tilde{F}_3^{(n)} + F_3^{(n)} & \tilde{F}_+^{(n)} + F_+^{(n)} & Y_+^{(n)} & \tilde{V}_+^{(n)} - V_+^{(n)} \\ \tilde{E}_-^{(n)} + E_-^{(n)} & \tilde{F}_-^{(n)} + F_-^{(n)} & I_0^{(n)} & \tilde{F}_+^{(n)} - F_+^{(n)} & \tilde{E}_+^{(n)} - E_+^{(n)} \\ \tilde{V}_-^{(n)} + V_-^{(n)} & Y_-^{(n)} & \tilde{F}_-^{(n)} - F_-^{(n)} & \tilde{F}_3^{(n)} - F_3^{(n)} & \tilde{U}_+^{(n)} - U_+^{(n)} \\ X_-^{(n)} & \tilde{V}_-^{(n)} - V_-^{(n)} & \tilde{E}_-^{(n)} - E_-^{(n)} & \tilde{U}_-^{(n)} - U_-^{(n)} & \tilde{E}_3^{(n)} - E_3^{(n)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{24}$$

For Eq.(22), when $n = 1$, substituting Eqs.(23) into Eq.(22), by tremendous calculation, we can obtain the algebraic relations in Appendix Eqs.(30) and the following constraint conditions

$$X_{\pm}^{(1)} = Y_{\pm}^{(1)} \pm = \tilde{U}_{\pm}^{(1)} = \tilde{V}_{\pm}^{(1)} = \tilde{E}_{\pm}^{(1)} = \tilde{F}_{\pm}^{(1)} = 0, \quad \tilde{E}_3^{(1)} = \tilde{F}_3^{(1)} = I_0^{(1)} \tag{25}$$

Therefore, $T^{(1)}$ is expressed in terms of the generators of Lie algebra $so(5)$ with

$$T_{ab}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} I_0^{(1)} + E_3^{(1)} & U_+^{(1)} & E_+^{(1)} & V_+^{(1)} & 0 \\ U_-^{(1)} & I_0^{(1)} + F_3^{(1)} & F_+^{(1)} & 0 & -V_+^{(1)} \\ E_-^{(1)} & F_-^{(1)} & I_0^{(n)} & -F_+^{(1)} & -E_+^{(1)} \\ V_-^{(1)} & 0 & -F_-^{(1)} & I_0^{(1)} - F_3^{(1)} & -U_+^{(1)} \\ 0 & -V_-^{(1)} & -E_-^{(1)} & -U_-^{(1)} & I_0^{(1)} - E_3^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (26)$$

where $I_0^{(1)}$ is a Casimir operator. While for arbitray n , substituting Eqs.(23) into Eq.(22) we can calculate commutators between $T^{(1)}$ and $T^{(n)}$ in Appendix Eqs.(33) and Eqs.(34).

When taking $n = 1$, substituting Eqs.(23) into Eq.(20) by direct calculations, we can obtain the following constraint conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_3^{(2)} - I_0^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{4}([U_+^{(1)}, U_-^{(1)}]_+ + [V_+^{(1)}, V_-^{(1)}]_+ - 2[F_+^{(1)}, F_-^{(1)}]_+ - [E_+^{(1)}, E_-^{(1)}]_+ + 2E_3^{(1)2}) \\ \tilde{F}_3^{(2)} - I_0^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{4}([U_+^{(1)}, U_-^{(1)}]_+ + [V_+^{(1)}, V_-^{(1)}]_+ - 2[E_+^{(1)}, E_-^{(1)}]_+ - [F_+^{(1)}, F_-^{(1)}]_+ + 2F_3^{(1)2}) \\ \tilde{U}_\pm^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{4}([E_\pm^{(1)}, F_\mp^{(1)}]_+ + [E_3^{(1)}, U_\pm^{(1)}]_+ + [F_3^{(1)}, U_\pm^{(1)}]_+) \\ \tilde{V}_\pm^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{4}(-[E_\pm^{(1)}, F_\pm^{(1)}]_+ + [E_3^{(1)}, V_\pm^{(1)}]_+ - [F_3^{(1)}, V_\pm^{(1)}]_+) \\ \tilde{E}_\pm^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{4}([E_3^{(1)}, E_\pm^{(1)}]_+ - [V_\pm^{(1)}, F_\mp^{(1)}]_+ + [F_\pm^{(1)}, U_\pm^{(1)}]_+) \\ \tilde{F}_\pm^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{4}([E_\mp^{(1)}, V_\pm^{(1)}]_+ + [E_\pm^{(1)}, U_\mp^{(1)}]_+ + [F_3^{(1)}, F_\pm^{(1)}]_+) \\ X_\pm^{(2)} &= -\frac{1}{4}([E_\pm^{(1)}, E_\pm^{(1)}]_+ + 2[U_\pm^{(1)}, V_\pm^{(1)}]_+) \\ Y_\pm^{(2)} &= -\frac{1}{4}([F_\pm^{(1)}, F_\pm^{(1)}]_+ - 2[U_\mp^{(1)}, V_\pm^{(1)}]_+) \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Substituting Eqs.(23) into Eq.(20), by using the constraint conditions Eqs.(27), Eqs.(25) can be reduced the following independent relations

$$\begin{aligned} [E_3^{(n)}, F_3^{(2)}] + \frac{1}{4}([U_+^{(1)}, \tilde{U}_-^{(n)}]_+ - [U_-^{(1)}, \tilde{U}_+^{(n)}]_+ + [V_-^{(1)}, \tilde{V}_+^{(n)}]_+ \\ - [V_+^{(1)}, \tilde{V}_-^{(n)}]_+) = 0 \\ [E_3^{(n)}, F_3^{(2)}] = [E_3^{(2)}, F_3^{(n)}] \\ [E_3^{(n)}, E_3^{(2)}] + \frac{1}{4}([U_-^{(1)}, \tilde{U}_+^{(n)}]_+ - [U_+^{(1)}, \tilde{U}_-^{(n)}]_+ + [V_-^{(1)}, \tilde{V}_+^{(n)}]_+ \\ - [V_+^{(1)}, \tilde{V}_-^{(n)}]_+ + [E_-^{(1)}, \tilde{E}_+^{(n)}]_+ - [E_+^{(1)}, \tilde{E}_-^{(n)}]_+) = 0 \\ [F_3^{(n)}, F_3^{(2)}] + \frac{1}{4}([U_+^{(1)}, \tilde{U}_-^{(n)}]_+ - [U_-^{(1)}, \tilde{U}_+^{(n)}]_+ + [V_+^{(1)}, \tilde{V}_-^{(n)}]_+ \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -[V_-^{(1)}, \tilde{V}_+^{(n)}]_+ + [F_-^{(1)}, \tilde{F}_+^{(n)}]_+ - [F_+^{(1)}, \tilde{F}_-^{(n)}]_+ = 0 \\
& [I_0^{(n)}, I_0^{(2)}] + ([E_-^{(1)}, \tilde{E}_+^{(n)}]_+ - [E_+^{(1)}, \tilde{E}_-^{(n)}]_+ + [F_-^{(1)}, \tilde{F}_+^{(n)}]_+ \\
& \quad - [F_+^{(1)}, \tilde{F}_-^{(n)}]_+) = 0 \\
& [E_3^{(n)}, I_0^{(2)}] + \frac{1}{2}([E_+^{(1)}, E_-^{(n)}]_+ - [E_+^{(n)}, E_-^{(1)}]_+) = 0 \\
& [F_3^{(n)}, I_0^{(2)}] + \frac{1}{2}([F_+^{(1)}, F_-^{(n)}]_+ - [F_-^{(1)}, F_+^{(n)}]_+) = 0 \\
& [\tilde{E}_3^{(n)}, F_3^{(2)}] + \frac{1}{4}([U_+^{(1)}, U_-^{(n)}]_+ - [U_-^{(1)}, U_+^{(n)}]_+ + [V_-^{(1)}, V_+^{(n)}]_+ \\
& \quad - [V_+^{(1)}, V_-^{(n)}]_+) = 0 \\
& [\tilde{F}_3^{(n)}, E_3^{(2)}] + \frac{1}{4}([U_+^{(n)}, U_-^{(1)}]_+ - [U_-^{(n)}, U_+^{(1)}]_+ + [V_-^{(1)}, V_+^{(n)}]_+ \\
& \quad - [V_+^{(1)}, V_-^{(n)}]_+) = 0 \\
& [\tilde{E}_3^{(n)}, E_3^{(2)}] + \frac{1}{4}([U_-^{(1)}, U_+^{(n)}]_+ - [U_+^{(1)}, U_-^{(n)}]_+ + [V_-^{(1)}, V_+^{(n)}]_+ \\
& \quad - [V_+^{(1)}, V_-^{(n)}]_+ + [E_-^{(1)}, E_+^{(n)}]_+ - [E_+^{(1)}, E_-^{(n)}]_+) = 0 \\
& [\tilde{F}_3^{(n)}, F_3^{(2)}] + \frac{1}{4}([U_+^{(1)}, U_-^{(n)}]_+ - [U_-^{(1)}, U_+^{(n)}]_+ + [V_-^{(1)}, V_+^{(n)}]_+ \\
& \quad - [V_+^{(1)}, V_-^{(n)}]_+ + [F_-^{(1)}, F_+^{(n)}]_+ - [F_+^{(1)}, F_-^{(n)}]_+) = 0 \tag{28} \\
& E_3^{(n+1)} = [E_+^{(n)}, E_-^{(2)}] + \frac{1}{4}([I_0^{(n)}, E_3^{(1)}]_+ - 2[I_0^{(1)}, E_3^{(n)}]_+ \\
& \quad - [E_-^{(1)}, \tilde{E}_+^{(n)}]_+ - [F_-^{(1)}, \tilde{F}_+^{(n)}]_+ + [F_+^{(1)}, \tilde{F}_-^{(n)}]_+ + [U_-^{(1)}, \tilde{U}_+^{(n)}]_+ \\
& \quad + [V_-^{(1)}, \tilde{V}_+^{(n)}]_+ + [E_3^{(1)}, \tilde{E}_3^{(n)}]_+) \\
& F_3^{(n+1)} = [F_+^{(n)}, F_-^{(2)}] + \frac{1}{4}([I_0^{(n)}, F_3^{(1)}]_+ - 2[I_0^{(1)}, F_3^{(n)}]_+ - [F_-^{(1)}, \tilde{F}_+^{(n)}]_+ \\
& \quad - [E_-^{(1)}, \tilde{E}_+^{(n)}]_+ + [E_+^{(1)}, \tilde{E}_-^{(n)}]_+ + [U_+^{(1)}, \tilde{U}_-^{(n)}]_+ - [V_-^{(1)}, \tilde{V}_+^{(n)}]_+ \\
& \quad + [F_3^{(1)}, \tilde{F}_3^{(n)}]_+) \\
& \tilde{E}_3^{(n+1)} - I_0^{(n+1)} = [\tilde{E}_+^{(n)}, E_-^{(2)}] + \frac{1}{4}(2[I_0^{(n)}, I_0^{(1)}]_+ - 2[I_0^{(1)}, \tilde{E}_3^{(n)}]_+ \\
& \quad - [E_-^{(1)}, E_+^{(n)}]_+ - [F_-^{(1)}, F_+^{(n)}]_+ - [F_+^{(1)}, F_-^{(n)}]_+ + [U_-^{(1)}, U_+^{(n)}]_+ \\
& \quad + [V_-^{(1)}, V_+^{(n)}]_+ + [E_3^{(1)}, E_3^{(n)}]_+) \\
& \tilde{F}_3^{(n+1)} - I_0^{(n+1)} = [\tilde{F}_+^{(n)}, F_-^{(2)}] + \frac{1}{4}(2[I_0^{(n)}, I_0^{(1)}]_+ - 2[I_0^{(1)}, \tilde{F}_3^{(n)}]_+ \\
& \quad - [F_-^{(1)}, F_+^{(n)}]_+ - [E_-^{(1)}, E_+^{(n)}]_+ - [E_+^{(1)}, E_-^{(n)}]_+ + [U_+^{(1)}, U_-^{(n)}]_+ \\
& \quad + [V_-^{(1)}, V_+^{(n)}]_+ + [F_3^{(1)}, F_3^{(n)}]_+) \tag{29}
\end{aligned}$$

where Eqs.(28) are the constraint conditions, Eqs.(29) are the iterative relation. All the other relation in Eq.(20) can be generated on the basis of Eqs.(28) and Eqs.(29) by making use of Jacobi indentities together with Eqs.(30), Eqs.(31) and constraint condition Eqs.(28). Taking $n = 2$ in Eqs.(28) and Eqs.(29), we derive only one independent relation which corresponds to Eq.(15) in Appendix Eq.(32). It is emphasized that because of the iterative relation Eqs.(29), only $T^{(1)}$ and $T^{(2)}$ are basic ones. To satisfy all the relations with $T^{(n)} (n \geq 3)$ it is enough to look for the constraints to $T^{(3)}$ that in turn to provide the constraints to $T^{(2)}$ itself. In short summary, we have verified the equivalence between Eq.(15)-Eq(17) and the expansions of RTT relations for $m = 0, n \leq 2$ for $Y(so(5))$.

(V). Conclusion

In this paper we have simplified the relations satisfied by $Y(so(5))$ to Eq.(15)-Eq.(17) and shown their correspondence in RTT relation. Also we have made the realization of $Y(so(5))$ in the NLS model of four-component fermions and shown Yangian symmetry for such a NLS model. This work is in part supported by NSF of China.

APPENDIX

In Cartan-Weyl basis Eq.(8), Eq.(16)-Eq.(17) are changed in the following forms

$$\begin{aligned}
[E_3^{(1)}, U_{\pm}^{(1)}] &= \pm U_{\pm}^{(1)}, \quad [E_3^{(1)}, E_{\pm}^{(1)}] = \pm E_{\pm}^{(1)}, \quad [E_3^{(1)}, V_{\pm}^{(1)}] = \pm V_{\pm}^{(1)}, \quad [E_3^{(1)}, F_{\alpha}^{(1)}] = 0, \\
[E_{\pm}^{(1)}, U_{\mp}^{(1)}] &= \mp F_{\pm}^{(1)}, \quad [E_{\pm}^{(1)}, U_{\pm}^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [U_{\pm}^{(1)}, V_{\pm}^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [V_{+}^{(1)}, V_{-}^{(1)}] = E_3^{(1)} + F_3^{(1)}, \\
[U_{\pm}^{(1)}, V_{\mp}^{(1)}] &= 0, \quad [E_{\pm}^{(1)}, V_{\pm}^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [E_{\pm}^{(1)}, V_{\mp}^{(1)}] = \pm F_{\mp}^{(1)}, \quad [U_{+}^{(1)}, U_{-}^{(1)}] = E_3^{(1)} - F_3^{(1)} \\
[E_{\pm}^{(1)}, F_{\pm}^{(1)}] &= \mp V_{\pm}^{(1)}, \quad [E_{\pm}^{(1)}, F_{\mp}^{(1)}] = \pm U_{\pm}^{(1)}, \quad [F_{\pm}^{(1)}, V_{\mp}^{(1)}] = \mp E_{\mp}^{(1)}, \quad [F_{\pm}^{(1)}, V_{\pm}^{(1)}] = 0, \\
[F_{\pm}^{(1)}, U_{\pm}^{(1)}] &= \mp E_{\pm}^{(1)}, \quad [F_{\pm}^{(1)}, U_{\mp}^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [F_3^{(1)}, U_{\pm}^{(1)}] = \mp U_{\pm}^{(1)}, \quad [F_3^{(1)}, V_{\pm}^{(1)}] = \pm V_{\pm}^{(1)} \\
[F_3^{(1)}, F_{\pm}^{(1)}] &= \pm F_{\pm}^{(1)}, \quad [F_3^{(1)}, E_{\pm}^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [E_{+}^{(1)}, E_{-}^{(1)}] = E_3^{(1)}, \quad [F_{+}^{(1)}, F_{-}^{(1)}] = F_3^{(1)} \quad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[E_3^{(2)}, U_{\pm}^{(1)}] &= \pm U_{\pm}^{(2)} = [E_3^{(1)}, U_{\pm}^{(2)}], \quad [E_3^{(2)}, E_{\pm}^{(1)}] = \pm E_{\pm}^{(2)} = [E_3^{(1)}, E_{\pm}^{(2)}] \\
[E_3^{(2)}, V_{\pm}^{(1)}] &= \pm V_{\pm}^{(2)} = [E_3^{(1)}, V_{\pm}^{(2)}], \quad [E_3^{(2)}, F_{\alpha}^{(1)}] = 0 = [E_3^{(1)}, F_{\alpha}^{(2)}] \\
[E_{\pm}^{(2)}, U_{\mp}^{(1)}] &= \mp F_{\pm}^{(2)} = [E_{\pm}^{(1)}, U_{\mp}^{(2)}], \quad [E_{\pm}^{(2)}, U_{\pm}^{(1)}] = 0 = [E_{\pm}^{(1)}, U_{\pm}^{(2)}] \\
[U_{\pm}^{(2)}, V_{\pm}^{(1)}] &= 0 = [U_{\pm}^{(1)}, V_{\pm}^{(2)}], \quad [U_{\pm}^{(2)}, V_{\mp}^{(1)}] = 0 = [U_{\pm}^{(1)}, V_{\mp}^{(2)}] \\
[E_{\pm}^{(2)}, V_{\pm}^{(1)}] &= 0 = [E_{\pm}^{(1)}, V_{\pm}^{(2)}], \quad [E_{\pm}^{(2)}, V_{\mp}^{(1)}] = \pm F_{\mp}^{(2)} = [E_{\pm}^{(1)}, V_{\mp}^{(2)}] \\
[U_{\pm}^{(2)}, U_{\pm}^{(1)}] &= 0 = [V_{\pm}^{(2)}, V_{\pm}^{(1)}], \quad [V_{+}^{(2)}, V_{-}^{(1)}] = E_3^{(n)} + F_3^{(2)} = [V_{+}^{(1)}, V_{-}^{(2)}] \\
[E_{\alpha}^{(2)}, E_{\alpha}^{(1)}] &= 0 = [F_{\alpha}^{(2)}, F_{\alpha}^{(1)}], \quad [U_{+}^{(2)}, U_{-}^{(1)}] = E_3^{(n)} - F_3^{(2)} = [U_{+}^{(1)}, U_{-}^{(2)}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[E_{\pm}^{(2)}, F_{\pm}^{(1)}] &= \mp V_{\pm}^{(2)} = [E_{\pm}^{(1)}, F_{\pm}^{(2)}], \quad [E_{\pm}^{(2)}, F_{\mp}^{(1)}] = \pm U_{\pm}^{(2)} = [E_{\pm}^{(1)}, F_{\mp}^{(2)}] \\
[F_{\pm}^{(2)}, V_{\mp}^{(1)}] &= \mp E_{\mp}^{(2)} = [F_{\pm}^{(1)}, V_{\mp}^{(2)}], \quad [F_{\pm}^{(2)}, V_{\pm}^{(1)}] = 0 = [F_{\pm}^{(1)}, V_{\pm}^{(2)}] \\
[F_{\pm}^{(2)}, U_{\pm}^{(1)}] &= \mp E_{\pm}^{(2)} = [F_{\pm}^{(1)}, U_{\pm}^{(2)}], \quad [F_{\pm}^{(2)}, U_{\mp}^{(1)}] = 0 = [F_{\pm}^{(1)}, U_{\mp}^{(2)}] \\
[F_3^{(2)}, U_{\pm}^{(1)}] &= \mp U_{\pm}^{(2)} = [F_3^{(1)}, U_{\pm}^{(2)}], \quad [F_3^{(2)}, V_{\pm}^{(1)}] = \pm V_{\pm}^{(2)} = [F_3^{(1)}, V_{\pm}^{(2)}] \\
[F_3^{(2)}, F_{\pm}^{(1)}] &= \pm F_{\pm}^{(2)} = [F_3^{(1)}, F_{\pm}^{(2)}], \quad [F_3^{(2)}, E_{\pm}^{(1)}] = 0 = [F_3^{(1)}, E_{\pm}^{(2)}] \\
[E_+^{(2)}, E_-^{(1)}] &= E_3^{(2)} = [E_+^{(1)}, E_-^{(2)}], \quad [F_+^{(2)}, F_-^{(1)}] = F_3^{(2)} = [F_+^{(1)}, E_-^{(2)}]
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

But Eq.(15) is changed into as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
[E_3^{(2)}, F_3^{(2)}] &= \frac{1}{4}(U_-^{(1)} E_+^{(1)} F_-^{(1)} - F_+^{(1)} E_-^{(1)} U_+^{(1)} + V_-^{(1)} E_+^{(1)} F_+^{(1)} \\
&\quad - F_-^{(1)} E_-^{(1)} V_+^{(1)})
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

All the other relation other than Eq.(10)-Eq.(11) can also be generated on the basis of Eq.(32) by using Jacobi identities together with Eqs.(30) and Eqs.(31). Namely, this is the definition of $Y(so(5))$.

Substituting Eqs.(23) into Eq.(22), by tremendous calculation, Eq.(22) is changed into the following algebraic relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
[E_3^{(n)}, U_{\pm}^{(1)}] &= \pm U_{\pm}^{(n)} = [E_3^{(1)}, U_{\pm}^{(n)}], \quad [E_3^{(n)}, E_{\pm}^{(1)}] = \pm E_{\pm}^{(n)} = [E_3^{(1)}, E_{\pm}^{(n)}] \\
[E_3^{(n)}, V_{\pm}^{(1)}] &= \pm V_{\pm}^{(n)} = [E_3^{(1)}, V_{\pm}^{(n)}], \quad [E_3^{(n)}, F_{\alpha}^{(1)}] = 0 = [E_3^{(1)}, F_{\alpha}^{(n)}] \\
[E_{\pm}^{(n)}, U_{\mp}^{(1)}] &= \mp F_{\pm}^{(n)} = [E_{\pm}^{(1)}, U_{\mp}^{(n)}], \quad [E_{\pm}^{(n)}, U_{\pm}^{(1)}] = 0 = [E_{\pm}^{(1)}, U_{\pm}^{(n)}] \\
[U_{\pm}^{(n)}, V_{\pm}^{(1)}] &= 0 = [U_{\pm}^{(1)}, V_{\pm}^{(n)}], \quad [U_{\pm}^{(n)}, V_{\mp}^{(1)}] = 0 = [U_{\pm}^{(1)}, V_{\mp}^{(n)}] \\
[E_{\pm}^{(n)}, V_{\pm}^{(1)}] &= 0 = [E_{\pm}^{(1)}, V_{\pm}^{(n)}], \quad [E_{\pm}^{(n)}, V_{\mp}^{(1)}] = \pm F_{\mp}^{(n)} = [E_{\pm}^{(1)}, V_{\mp}^{(n)}] \\
[U_{\pm}^{(n)}, U_{\pm}^{(1)}] &= 0 = [V_{\pm}^{(n)}, V_{\pm}^{(1)}], \quad [V_+^{(n)}, V_-^{(1)}] = E_3^{(n)} + F_3^{(n)} = [V_+^{(1)}, V_-^{(n)}] \\
[E_{\alpha}^{(n)}, E_{\alpha}^{(1)}] &= 0 = [F_{\alpha}^{(n)}, F_{\alpha}^{(1)}], \quad [U_+^{(n)}, U_-^{(1)}] = E_3^{(n)} - F_3^{(n)} = [U_+^{(1)}, U_-^{(n)}] \\
[E_{\pm}^{(n)}, F_{\pm}^{(1)}] &= \mp V_{\pm}^{(n)} = [E_{\pm}^{(1)}, F_{\pm}^{(n)}], \quad [E_{\pm}^{(n)}, F_{\mp}^{(1)}] = \pm U_{\pm}^{(n)} = [E_{\pm}^{(1)}, F_{\mp}^{(n)}] \\
[F_{\pm}^{(n)}, V_{\mp}^{(1)}] &= \mp E_{\mp}^{(n)} = [F_{\pm}^{(1)}, V_{\mp}^{(n)}], \quad [F_{\pm}^{(n)}, V_{\pm}^{(1)}] = 0 = [F_{\pm}^{(1)}, V_{\pm}^{(n)}] \\
[F_{\pm}^{(n)}, U_{\pm}^{(1)}] &= \mp E_{\pm}^{(n)} = [F_{\pm}^{(1)}, U_{\pm}^{(n)}], \quad [F_{\pm}^{(n)}, U_{\mp}^{(1)}] = 0 = [F_{\pm}^{(1)}, U_{\mp}^{(n)}] \\
[F_3^{(n)}, U_{\pm}^{(1)}] &= \mp U_{\pm}^{(n)} = [F_3^{(1)}, U_{\pm}^{(n)}], \quad [F_3^{(n)}, V_{\pm}^{(1)}] = \pm V_{\pm}^{(n)} = [F_3^{(1)}, V_{\pm}^{(n)}] \\
[F_3^{(n)}, F_{\pm}^{(1)}] &= \pm F_{\pm}^{(n)} = [F_3^{(1)}, F_{\pm}^{(n)}], \quad [F_3^{(n)}, E_{\pm}^{(1)}] = 0 = [F_3^{(1)}, E_{\pm}^{(n)}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$[E_+^{(n)}, E_-^{(1)}] = E_3^{(n)} = [E_+^{(1)}, E_-^{(n)}], \quad [F_+^{(n)}, F_-^{(1)}] = F_3^{(n)} = [F_+^{(1)}, E_-^{(n)}] \quad (33)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\tilde{E}_3^{(n)}, U_\pm^{(1)}] = \pm \tilde{U}_\pm^{(n)} = [E_3^{(1)}, \tilde{U}_\pm^{(n)}], \quad [\tilde{E}_3^{(n)}, V_\pm^{(1)}] = \pm \tilde{V}_\pm^{(n)} = [E_3^{(1)}, \tilde{V}_\pm^{(n)}] \\
& [\tilde{E}_3^{(n)}, E_\pm^{(1)}] = \pm \tilde{E}_\pm^{(n)} = [E_3^{(1)}, \tilde{E}_\pm^{(n)}], \quad [\tilde{E}_3^{(n)}, F_\alpha^{(1)}] = 0 = [E_3^{(1)}, \tilde{F}_\alpha^{(n)}] \\
& [\tilde{E}_\pm^{(n)}, U_\pm^{(1)}] = 0 = [E_\pm^{(1)}, \tilde{U}_\pm^{(n)}], \quad [\tilde{E}_\pm^{(n)}, U_\mp^{(1)}] = \mp \tilde{F}_\pm^{(n)} = [E_\pm^{(1)}, \tilde{U}_\mp^{(n)}] \\
& [\tilde{E}_\pm^{(n)}, V_\pm^{(1)}] = 0 = [E_\pm^{(1)}, \tilde{V}_\pm^{(n)}], \quad [\tilde{E}_\pm^{(n)}, V_\mp^{(1)}] = \mp \tilde{F}_\mp^{(n)} = [E_\pm^{(1)}, \tilde{V}_\mp^{(n)}] \\
& [\tilde{E}_+^{(n)}, E_-^{(1)}] = \tilde{E}_3^{(n)} - I_0^{(n)} = [E_+^{(1)}, \tilde{E}_-^{(n)}], \quad [\tilde{F}_+^{(n)}, F_-^{(1)}] = \tilde{F}_3^{(n)} - I_0^{(n)} = [F_+^{(1)}, \tilde{F}_-^{(n)}] \\
& [\tilde{E}_\pm^{(n)}, F_\pm^{(1)}] = \mp \tilde{V}_\pm^{(n)} = [\tilde{F}_\pm^{(n)}, E_\pm^{(1)}], \quad [\tilde{E}_\pm^{(n)}, F_\mp^{(1)}] = \pm \tilde{U}_\pm^{(n)} = [E_\pm^{(1)}, \tilde{F}_\mp^{(n)}] \\
& [\tilde{U}_+^{(n)}, U_-^{(1)}] = \tilde{E}_3^{(n)} - \tilde{F}_3^{(n)} = [U_+^{(1)}, \tilde{U}_-^{(n)}], \quad [\tilde{V}_+^{(n)}, V_-^{(1)}] = \tilde{E}_3^{(n)} + \tilde{F}_3^{(n)} = [V_+^{(1)}, \tilde{V}_-^{(n)}] \\
& [\tilde{U}_\pm^{(n)}, V_\mp^{(1)}] = \mp Y_\mp^{(n)} = [U_\pm^{(1)}, \tilde{V}_\mp^{(n)}], \quad [\tilde{U}_\pm^{(n)}, V_\pm^{(1)}] = \mp X_\pm^{(n)} = [\tilde{V}_\pm^{(n)}, U_\pm^{(1)}] \\
& [\tilde{F}_3^{(n)}, U_\pm^{(1)}] = \mp \tilde{U}_\pm^{(n)} = [F_3^{(1)}, \tilde{U}_\pm^{(n)}], \quad [\tilde{F}_3^{(n)}, V_\pm^{(1)}] = \mp \tilde{V}_\pm^{(n)} = [\tilde{V}_\pm^{(n)}, F_3^{(1)}] \\
& [\tilde{F}_3^{(n)}, F_\pm^{(1)}] = \pm \tilde{F}_\pm^{(n)} = [F_3^{(1)}, \tilde{F}_\pm^{(n)}], \quad [\tilde{F}_3^{(n)}, E_\alpha^{(1)}] = 0 = [F_3^{(1)}, \tilde{E}_\alpha^{(n)}] \\
& [\tilde{F}_\pm^{(n)}, U_\mp^{(1)}] = 0 = [F_\pm^{(1)}, \tilde{U}_\mp^{(n)}], \quad [\tilde{F}_\pm^{(n)}, U_\pm^{(1)}] = \mp \tilde{E}_\pm^{(n)} = [F_\pm^{(1)}, \tilde{U}_\pm^{(n)}] \\
& [\tilde{F}_\pm^{(n)}, V_\pm^{(1)}] = 0 = [F_\pm^{(1)}, \tilde{V}_\pm^{(n)}], \quad [\tilde{F}_\pm^{(n)}, V_\mp^{(1)}] = \pm \tilde{E}_\mp^{(n)} = [\tilde{V}_\mp^{(n)}, F_\pm^{(1)}] \\
& [\tilde{E}_3^{(n)}, E_3^{(1)}] = 0 = [F_3^{(1)}, \tilde{F}_3^{(n)}], \quad [\tilde{E}_\pm^{(n)}, E_\pm^{(1)}] = \mp X_\pm^{(n)}, \quad [\tilde{F}_\pm^{(n)}, F_\pm^{(1)}] = \mp Y_\pm^{(n)} \\
& [\tilde{U}_\pm^{(n)}, U_\pm^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [\tilde{V}_\pm^{(n)}, V_\pm^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [X_\pm^{(n)}, E_\mp^{(1)}] = \mp 2\tilde{E}_\pm^{(n)}, \quad [X_\pm^{(n)}, E_\pm^{(1)}] = 0 \\
& [X_\pm^{(n)}, U_\mp^{(1)}] = \mp 2\tilde{V}_\pm^{(n)}, \quad [X_\pm^{(n)}, U_\pm^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [X_\pm^{(n)}, V_\mp^{(1)}] = \mp 2\tilde{U}_\pm^{(n)}, \quad [X_\pm^{(n)}, V_\pm^{(1)}] = 0 \\
& [X_\pm^{(n)}, E_3^{(1)}] = \mp 2X_\pm^{(n)}, \quad [X_\pm^{(n)}, F_3^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [X_\pm^{(n)}, F_\pm^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [X_\pm^{(n)}, F_\mp^{(1)}] = 0 \\
& [Y_\pm^{(n)}, E_3^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [Y_\pm^{(n)}, F_3^{(1)}] = \mp 2Y_\pm^{(n)}, \quad [Y_\pm^{(n)}, E_\pm^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [Y_\pm^{(n)}, E_\mp^{(1)}] = 0 \\
& [Y_\pm^{(n)}, U_\pm^{(1)}] = \mp 2\tilde{V}_\pm^{(n)}, \quad [Y_\pm^{(n)}, U_\mp^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [Y_\pm^{(n)}, F_\pm^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [Y_\pm^{(n)}, F_\mp^{(1)}] = \mp 2\tilde{F}_\pm^{(n)} \\
& [Y_\pm^{(n)}, V_\pm^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [Y_\pm^{(n)}, V_\mp^{(1)}] = \pm 2\tilde{U}_\mp^{(n)}, \quad [I_0^{(n)}, E_\pm^{(1)}] = \mp 2\tilde{E}_\pm^{(n)}, \quad [I_0^{(n)}, F_\pm^{(1)}] = \mp 2\tilde{F}_\pm^{(n)} \\
& [I_0^{(n)}, U_\pm^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [I_0^{(n)}, V_\pm^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [I_0^{(n)}, E_3^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [I_0^{(n)}, F_3^{(1)}] = 0 \\
& [\tilde{E}_\alpha^{(n)}, I_0^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [\tilde{F}_\alpha^{(n)}, I_0^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [\tilde{U}_\pm^{(n)}, I_0^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [\tilde{V}_\pm^{(n)}, I_0^{(1)}] = 0 \\
& [X_\pm^{(n)}, I_0^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [Y_\pm^{(n)}, I_0^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [I_0^{(n)}, I_0^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [E_\alpha^{(n)}, I_0^{(1)}] = 0 \\
& [F_\alpha^{(n)}, I_0^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [U_\pm^{(n)}, I_0^{(1)}] = 0, \quad [V_\pm^{(n)}, I_0^{(1)}] = 0, \quad (\alpha = \pm, 3) \quad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

From Eqs.(34) we may know that $I_0^{(n)}$ is Casimor operator.

References

- [1] D.Uglov and V.Korepin, Phys.Lett. A190(1994) 238.
- [2] F.Göhmman and V.Inzemtsev, Phy.Lett.A214(1996) 161-166.
- [3] Haldane F.D., Physics of the ideal Semion gas: Spinions and quantum symmetries of the integrable Haldane-Shastry spin-chain, Proc.16th Taniguchi Symposium on Condensed Matter, Kashikojima, Japan. ed by O.Okiji and N.Kawakami, Springer, 1994.
- [4] Haldane F.D.M Phys.Rev.lett. 60,(1988) 635.
- [5] Shastry S. Phys.Rev.lett. 60,(1988) 639.
- [6] Haldane F.D.M, Ha Z.N.C, Talstra J.C., Bernard D. and Pasquier V., Phys.Rev.Lett. 69(1992) 2021.
- [7] Bernard D., Gaudin M., Haldane F.D.M , and Pasquier V. J.Phys.A26 (1993) 5219.
- [8] Wang Z.F, Ge M.L and Xue K, J.Phys.A30(1997) 5023-5036.
- [9] Murakami S and Wadati M, J.Phys.Soc.Japan 65(1996), 1227.
- [10] S.C.Zhang, Science 275(1997), 1089.
- [11] S.Meixner, W.Hanke, E.Demler, and S.C.Zhang, Phys.Rev.Lett.79, (1997),4902.
- [12] R.Eder, W.Hanke, and S.C.Zhang, Phys.Rev.B57(1998), 13 781.
- [13] C.L.Henly, Phys.Rev.Lett.80, 3590(1998).
- [14] S.Rabello, H.Kohno, E.Demler, and S.C.Zhang, Phys.Rev.Lett.80, (1998),3586.
- [15] D.J.Scalapin, S.C.Zhang, and W.Hanke, Phys.Rev.B58(1998), 443.
- [16] V.Drinfled, Sov.Math.Dokl. 32,(1985) 254.
- [17] V.Drinfled, Sov.Math.Dokl. 36,(1985) 212-216.
- [18] V.Drinfled, Quantum Group, PICM, Berkely, 1986 ,P269-291.
- [19] V.Chari and A.Pressley, Yangian and R-matrix, L'Enseignement matne-matique, 36(1990)267-302, A Guide to Quantum Groups, Cambridge Univ.Press 1994.
- [20] Ge M.L and Xue K, $Y(\mathfrak{su}(3))$ in Quantum Mechanics. Nankai Math. Inst. preprint.

- [21] Ge M.L and Xue K, Phys.Lett. A152(1991) 266.
- [22] Ge M.L, Wu Y.S and Xue K, Int.J.Mod.Phys. 6A(1991) 3735.